

## **Economic Impact of Strategic Trade Controls**

#### Dr. Anupam Srivastava

Senior Fellow, CITS reachanupam@gmail.com 22<sup>nd</sup> Asian Export Control Seminar Tokyo, February 17-19, 2015



**Operative Definition**  $\rightarrow$  A semi-permeable membrane to verify & permit transfer of prescribed products, components, technologies & services (know-how & know-why) across national boundaries; emphasis on <u>regulation</u> than outright <u>denials</u>

#### Most heavily traded commodities are also of greatest concern for WMDs

- IT, ITeS, nuclear, chem., bio-tech, aerospace, specialty materials, composites
- Precision engineering, machine tools, lasers, nano-tech

#### STCs regulate "hi-tech" products, components & services , which have

- Higher marginal product of labor  $\rightarrow$  greater profits
- Low elasticity of price & demand  $\rightarrow$  sustained market share
- Key to strong industrial base  $\rightarrow$  national power (civilian & military)

When designed & operated judiciously, STCs are one of the most viable trade-enabling & security-maximizing tools



45% of global investible capital is in Asia Over 60% of this now STAYS in Asia

Asia-wide foreign trade

For ASEAN For PRC For India & ROK

#### → ~ 40% of GDP

- → ~ 60% of GDP
- → ~ 55% of GDP
- → ~ 40% of GDP & growing

Value Added Mfg & Services  $\rightarrow$ ~ 40% of foreign trade across Asia

Developed Countries want "win-win" by locating BPO, KPO & manufacturing in Asia Hence, seek higher tech safeguards from trading partners in Asia



#### • Res 1540 requires states, in part, to

"establish, develop, review and maintain appropriate effective national export and trans-shipment controls" over materials related to nuclear, chemical & biological weapons and their means of delivery.

#### • However, the Resolution affirms in the preamble that

- "prevention of proliferation of nuclear, chemical and biological weapons should not hamper international cooperation in materials, equipment and technology for peaceful purposes."



### *Relationship b/w Economics & STCs*

- Does adopting STCs have an impact on the economic development of a country? If so, is it
  - ➢ Positive
  - > Negative
  - > Insignificant
- Hence, need to analyze <u>how</u> STCs impact a national economy
  - $\succ$  One such attempt  $\rightarrow$  UGA Study

## UGA Study (2010): Economic Impact of Adopting STCs

- Unlike earlier efforts, this study examined trade controls from *both* importing & exporting perspectives
- Particular sensitivity to imports as a measure of technology transfer
- - concerns re tech transfer/denial issues
  - concerns re curbs on nat'l eco development

Assessing the Economic Impact of Adopting Strategic Trade Controls



U.S. Department of State Bureau of International Security and Nonproliferation Office of Export Cooperation

By Scott Jones and Johannes Karreth

December 2010

Prepared by The University of Georgia Center for International Trade and Security as a team member of Science Applications International Corporation under Department of State Task Order S-AQMMA-08-F-6920

http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/156673 .pdf

The study was conducted through the generous support of the US Dept of State, Export





## UGA Study (cont.)

- Two hypotheses tested using data on trade in ATP (Advanced Technology Products) to & from US, EU-15
  - Why use ATP? Because there is no uniformly accepted common measure for HS and ECCN designated items

*Hypothesis 1:* When countries introduce comprehensive STCs, they regularly experience a decline in exports & imports of hi-tech products, *holding all else constant* 

*Hypothesis 2:* When countries introduce comprehensive STCs, they do not experience any significant change in exports & imports of hitech products, *holding all else constant* 



#### **Our Setup**







- Why Trade? Essential component of development
- Why ATP? Because no uniformly accepted common measure for HS and ECCN designated items
- What is ATP? 219 HS-6 Commodity Codes covering hightech goods → most affected by the licensing process & bureaucracy. If STCs have an impact, it would be reflected here
- We try to minimize the trade-off b/w
  - an exact measure for controlled goods, and
  - assumption of a link b/w trade in specific goods and economic structure/performance.

## Advanced Technology Products (ATP)



US Census Bureau & UN Comtrade

Technology Field	Example of Products
Biotechnology	Vaccines for human medicine, vaccines for veterinary medicine
Life Sciences	Magnetic resonance imaging apparatus, electrocardiographs, artificial joints
Opto-Electronics	Rangefinders, stereoscopic microscopes, lasers other than laser diodes
Information & Communications	Personal computers, facsimile machines, communications satellites, camcorders
Electronics	Particle accelerators, semiconductors, smart cards
Flexible Manufacturing	Industrial robots, thermostats, semiconductor manufacturing equipment
Advanced Materials	Optical fiber cables
Aerospace	Turbojet aircraft engines, new multi-engine airplanes
Weapons	Guided missiles, self-propelled artillery weapons
Nuclear Technology	Nuclear reactors, uranium compounds enriched in U235



## Results: Ukraine (STCs $\rightarrow$ boosted Xs, Ms)

 Time-series data demonstrates → trade flows in ATP items was not negatively impacted (and indeed boosted) by the enactment of STC legislation



# Results: India (STCs $\rightarrow$ boosted trade)



#### Exports → US



#### Reverse: Imports US



#### Exports → Europe



#### ... and Imports Europe



# Results: High-Tech as % of all Exports in 3 countries





- STC legislation marginally increased imports (from EU/US)
- STCs provide assurances to:
  - Exporting government (e.g., licensed trade)
  - Supply chains
  - Investors:

**•** IP Protection; Un-authorized (re-) Transfers

- Broader Finding: Robust STCs
  - Facilitate import of licensed commodities
  - Promote mfg & export of dual-use products & services
  - Stimulate R&D, fabrication, prototypes
  - > Assist in meeting non-plfn & anti-terrorism objectives



## STCs and Investor Sentiment

pwc					Thailand			
Iome	Services	Industries	Research & insights	PwC in the News	Careers	Events		
PwC in the News		Guru Speak: Better export controls will open a door to Thai prosperity						
This article appeared in the March 3 , 2010 issue of the The Nations . By Paul Sumner								
		ASIAN ECONOMIES are generally recovering well from the economic turmoil of 2008/9 and confid seems high that Asia will experience another economic boom in the next two to five years.						
		base for manufacturing too. Asia's massive se forecasts strong dema rise globally, so will As	g, Ásia is well placed to pros mi-conductor industry, often nd/growth in coming month	d maturing regional markets or its reliability as a cost efficient ced to prosper from the gradual recovery of other economies ustry, often cited as a barometer of wider business sentiment, ing months. As demand for electronic products continues to esides China and India, Asean countries such as Thailand, are also likely to prosper.				
		factors come into play.	npete to stimulate new local businesses and attract big multinationals, many Cost, abundance of skilled labour, proximity to markets, etc, are obvious ones, s there are less obvious considerations. One such is the existence of export n question.					
		What are export controls? Export controls are laws and regulations established to create a control regime around certain sensitive products and technologies to prevent them falling into "the wrong hands". A special export licence is required to export products on the controlled list prescribed by the government. Controls regimes are usually introduced under international agreements to curb the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and to combat terrorism.						

".... when multinationals in an affected industry want to move capabilities such as manufacturing and R&D to Asia from another country, they often consider the associated security issues. The existence of an export control regime in a country is hence often a key factor in their choice of location."

# Source: http://www.pwc.com/th/en/press-room/column-article/2010/guruspeak-25-03-2010.jhtml



### STCs & Foreign Investment



# Japan: Technology Transfer & Exporter Assurances



#### Source: METI, Japan, Feb 2011, Asian Export Control Seminar



## Select Examples from Industry in India

- (USA) GE, Honeywell → R&D centres in India compliant with <u>STCs of USA and</u> of India. Lockheed, Boeing → strong STCs vital in all our local partners
- (GERMANY) SGL Carbon, Oerlikon-Leybold, Evonik → strong STCs are an essential pre-requisite for our vendors and JV partners
- (SWEDEN) SAAB → strong STCs mandatory for each of our vendors in India
- (JAPAN) Mitsubishi Electric, Toyota → strong STCs vital to our R&D, manufacturing and exports from India
- Indian Companies
  - **L&T**  $\rightarrow$  strong STCs helped us export N canisters & equipment to USA
  - Godrej → strong ICP helped us import dual-use machines from Japan & Germany. We refused exporting chem equipment to M East; get faster XC clearance from DGFT
  - **GMM Pfaudler**  $\rightarrow$  strong STCs vital factor in expanding our global trade
- Robust STCs vital to value-added mfg, R&D, and export of goods & services